## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested. Claims 1, 8 and 14 have been amended. Claims 4, 11 and 17 have been canceled. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-16 and 18-20 are now pending in the application. The rejections are respectfully submitted to be obviated in view of the amendments and remarks presented herein.

As a preliminary matter, it appears that Examiner has not considered the four (4) foreign patent documents submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement filed on January 13, 2005. Applicants respectfully request Examiner to consider these references and attach the initialed and signed copy of the PTO/SB/08 form to the next Office communication.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) - Saito

Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being anticipated by Saito (U.S. Patent Number 6,275,889). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Regarding claim 1, Applicants' invention relates to:

[a] data transmission system comprising:

a plurality of information transmitters connected to nodes on a bus, for transmitting/receiving data through a connection established between the nodes;

a connection establishing device for establishing a connection at each node;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Support for these claim amendments is found in the specification on at least page 21, lines 11-19.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111 U.S. Application No. 10/041,736 Attorney Docket No. Q68034

a connection status information holding device for holding connection status information indicating a managing status of isochronous resources as bus resources while updating it during the execution of the connection establishment at each node;

a connection status information referring device for referring to the connection status information; and

a processing executing device for executing predetermined processing to avoid unmanageable status of the isochronous resources when it is determined that the managing status of the bus resources is out of a permissible range,

wherein the managing status of the isochronous resources indicated by the connection status information includes, in addition to an unknown status, a valid status, an invalid status, and a status of processing being executed.

Examiner maintains that Saito teaches each feature of the claimed invention. However, Saito fails to teach or suggest "a connection status information holding device for holding connection status information indicating a managing status of isochronous resources as bus resources while updating it during the execution of the connection establishment at each node." The Examiner states on page 3 of the Office Action that Saito teaches a connection status information holding device for holding connection status information indicating a managing status of bus resources, however, this assertion is incorrect.

Saito merely discloses a plug control register (PCR) which indicates a status of a device connected to a bus. Saito's bus resources refer only to devices connected to a bus. Therefore, there is no connection status information in Saito which indicates a managing status of isochronous resources as bus resources. On the contrary, the claimed invention recites that the

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

U.S. Application No. 10/041,736

Attorney Docket No. Q68034

"connection status information indicates a managing status of isochronous resources as bus

resources."

Furthermore, Saito also fails to teach or suggest "wherein the managing status of the

isochronous resources indicated by the connection status information includes, in addition to an

unknown status, a valid status, an invalid status, and a status of processing being executed," as

recited in claim 1. The Examiner has readily admitted these deficiencies of Saito on pages 5-6 of

the Office Action.

At least by virtue of the aforementioned differences, the invention defined by Applicants'

claim 1 is patentable over Saito. Applicants' claims 8 and 14 are related method and apparatus

claims respectively, and are allowable for analogous reasons as discussed above. Applicants'

claims {2 and 6}, {9 and 13} and {15 and 19} are dependent claims including all of the elements

of independent claims 1, 8 and 14 respectively, which as established above, distinguished over

Saito. Therefore, claims 2, 6, 9, 13, 15 and 19 are distinguished over Saito for at least the

aforementioned reasons as well as for their additionally recited features. Reconsideration and

withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) are respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) -Saito in view of Ishida et al.

Claims 3-5, 7, 10-12, 16-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly

being unpatentable over Saito in view of Ishida et al. (U.S. Patent Application Number

6,839,347; hereinafter "Ishida"). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

12

As discussed above, the Examiner admits on pages 5-6 of the Office Action that Saito fails to teach or suggest "wherein the managing status of the isochronous resources indicated by the connection status information includes, in addition to an unknown status, a valid status, an invalid status, and a status of processing being executed," as recited in claim 1.

Ishida does not remedy the deficiencies of Saito. Although the Examiner has relied on Ishida et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,839,347) for the teaching of connection status information comprising status designations, <u>Ishida merely discloses error status information (HCE) which only indicates whether or not there was an error in a packet (column 16, lines 32-33). Therefore, Ishida fails to teach or suggest <u>indicating managing status including, in addition to an unknown status, a valid status, an invalid status, and a status of processing being executed, as recited in claim 1.</u></u>

At least by virtue of the aforementioned differences, the invention defined by Applicants' claim 1 is patentable over Saito in view of Ishida. Applicants' claims 8 and 14 are related method and apparatus claims respectively, and are allowable for analogous reasons as discussed above. Applicants' claims {3, 5 and 7}, {10 and 12} and {16, 18 and 20} are dependent claims including all of the elements of independent claims 1, 8 and 14 respectively, which as established above, distinguished over Saito in view of Ishida. Therefore, claims 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 16, 18 and 20 are distinguished over Saito in view of Ishida for at least the aforementioned reasons as well as for their additionally recited features. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) are respectfully requested.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

U.S. Application No. 10/041,736

Attorney Docket No. Q68034

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 52,432

Lenny R. Jiang

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: September 21, 2005

14